

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/831,887	ITO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leonid M. Fastovsky	3742	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Leonid M. Fastovsky. (3) _____.
 (2) Raymon Cardillo. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 August 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,2,4,5,13-16 and 29-32.

Identification of prior art discussed: 5665260, 6140256.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See note below.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



ROBIN O. EVANS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
8/24/05

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

The applicant will address the discrepancy in the claims between meaning of space and distance since Kawada heats the work having "space" created by a cover layer. In addition, the word "space" does not appear in the specification.

THIS PAGE LEFT BLANK